

ANALYTIC EXTENSIONS AND SELECTIONS¹

BY

J. GLOBEVNIK

ABSTRACT. Let G be a closed subset of the closed unit disc in C , let F be a closed subset of the unit circle of measure 0 and let Φ map G into the class of all open subsets of a complex Banach space X . Under suitable additional assumptions on Φ we prove that given any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow X$ satisfying $f(z) \in \text{closure}(\Phi(z))$ ($z \in F \cap G$) there exists a continuous function \tilde{f} from the closed unit disc into X , analytic in the open unit disc, which extends f and satisfies $\tilde{f}(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in G - F$). This enables us to generalize and sharpen known dominated extension theorems for the disc algebra.

Let p be a real valued positive continuous function on the unit circle T in C and let $F \subset T$ be a closed set of Lebesgue measure zero. Given any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow C$ satisfying $|f(s)| < p(s)$ ($s \in F$) there exists a function \tilde{f} in the disc algebra which extends f and satisfies $|\tilde{f}(t)| < p(t)$ ($t \in T$). This simple dominated extension theorem is a special case of a more general theorem proved by E. Bishop [1]. See [1]–[3], [6], [7], [10] for such theorems in general spaces of continuous functions and see [7] for the most general dominated extension theorem in the disc algebra.

Writing $\Phi(t) = \{z \in C: |z| < p(t)\}$ ($t \in T$) the above theorem becomes a selection theorem: Given any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow C$ satisfying $f(s) \in \Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) there exists a function \tilde{f} in the disc algebra which extends f and satisfies $\tilde{f}(t) \in \Phi(t)$ ($t \in T$).

In the present paper we use some ideas of [5] to prove a selection theorem for the disc algebra which generalizes and sharpens known results on dominated extensions.

Throughout, we denote by Δ , $\bar{\Delta}$ and $\partial\Delta$ the open unit disc in C , its closure and its boundary, respectively. If X is a complex Banach space and $r > 0$ we write $B_r(X) = \{x \in X: \|x\| < r\}$. Let $x \in X$ and $S, T \subset X$. We write $x + S = \{x + u: u \in S\}$ and $S + T = \{u + v: u \in S, v \in T\}$ and denote by \bar{S} the closure of S . By $A(\Delta, X)$ we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from $\bar{\Delta}$ to X which are analytic on Δ and by A we denote the disc algebra $A(\Delta, C)$. We write $I = \{t: 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ and denote the set of all positive integers by N .

Received by the editors April 20, 1978 and, in revised form, September 20, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A80, 30A96, 46J15.

¹This work was supported in part by the Boris Kidrič Fund, Ljubljana, Yugoslavia.

Suppose that $\{p_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ is a family of nonempty open subsets of X . For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$ let $x_\alpha \in \bar{P}_\alpha$. We say that the sets P_α ($\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$) are *equi-locally connected* at the points x_α if given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $\delta > 0$ such that for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$ the set $(x_\alpha + B_\delta(X)) \cap P_\alpha$ is contained in a connected component of $(x_\alpha + B_\varepsilon(X)) \cap P_\alpha$ [9]. We call any such $\delta(\cdot)$ a *modulus of equi-local connectedness* of the sets P_α ($\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$) at the points x_α .

Let $S \subset \bar{\Delta}$ be a closed set and let X be a Banach space. We call the *graph* of a map $\Phi: S \rightarrow 2^X$ the set of all pairs $(z, x) \in S \times X$ such that $x \in \Phi(z)$. We say that Φ is *open* if its graph is open in $S \times X$; equivalently, Φ is open if given any $z \in S$ and any $x \in \Phi(z)$ there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $x + B_\varepsilon(X) \subset \Phi(u)$ ($u \in S: |u - z| < \varepsilon$). In particular, if Φ is open then $\Phi(z)$ is open for every $z \in S$.

Our main result is the following:

THEOREM. *Let X be a complex Banach space and let $G \subset \bar{\Delta}$ be a closed set. Assume that $\Phi: G \rightarrow 2^X$ is an open map such that $g(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in G$) for some $g \in A(\Delta, X)$. Let $F \subset \partial\Delta$ be a closed set of measure 0 and let $f: F \rightarrow X$ be a continuous function such that $f(s) \in \overline{\Phi(s)}$ ($s \in G \cap F$). Assume that $\Phi(s)$ is connected for each $s \in G \cap F$ and that the sets $\Phi(s)$ ($s \in G \cap F$) are equi-locally connected at the points $f(s)$. Then there exists an extension $\tilde{f} \in A(\Delta, X)$ of f which satisfies $\tilde{f}(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in G - F$).*

LEMMA. *Under the assumptions of the theorem with $G = \bar{\Delta}$, let $U \subset \bar{\Delta}$ be a neighbourhood of F and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^m F_i$ where the F_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) are pairwise disjoint nonempty closed sets. Assume that $u, v: F \rightarrow X$ are two functions such that $v(s) \in \Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) and such that $u|_{F_i}$ and $v|_{F_i}$ are constant for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Suppose that there is some $\tilde{u} \in A(\Delta, X)$ which extends u and satisfies $\tilde{u}(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$). Then there is an extension $\tilde{v} \in A(\Delta, X)$ of v which satisfies $\tilde{v}(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$) and $\|\tilde{u}(z) - \tilde{v}(z)\| < \varepsilon$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta} - U$). If, in addition, $R > 0$ and*

$$\|u(s) - f(s)\| < \delta(R), \quad \|v(s) - f(s)\| < \delta(R) \quad (s \in F)$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is a modulus of equi-local connectedness of the sets $\Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) at the points $f(s)$ then one may choose \tilde{v} so that $\|\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}\| < 4R$.

PROOF. We prove both assertions; the proof can be easily adapted to prove only the first assertion.

Observe first that by compactness of $\bar{\Delta}$, by the continuity of \tilde{u} and by the fact that Φ is open there is some $\eta > 0$ such that $\tilde{u}(z) + B_\eta(X) \subset \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$). For the moment, fix $s \in F$. The assumptions imply that there is a path $p: I \rightarrow \Phi(s)$ such that $p(0) = u(s)$, $p(1) = v(s)$ and $\|p(t) - f(s)\| < R$ ($t \in I$). Since Φ is open and since $p(I)$ is compact there is some $r' > 0$ such that $p(I) + B_{r'}(X) \subset \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$, $|z - s| < r'$).

Since u and v are constant on F_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$ there are paths $p_i: I \rightarrow X$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and an $r > 0$ such that

$$p_i(0) = u(s), \quad p_i(1) = v(s) \quad (s \in F_i, 1 \leq i \leq m),$$

$$p_i(I) + B_{3r}(X) \subset \Phi(z) \quad (z \in \bar{\Delta}, \text{dist}(z, F_i) < r, 1 \leq i \leq m),$$

$$\text{diam } p_i(I) < 2R \quad (1 \leq i \leq m),$$

and $r < \min\{\varepsilon, R, \eta\}$. By the continuity of \tilde{u} we may choose pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods $U_i \subset U$ of F_i , respectively, such that

$$p_i(I) + B_{3r}(X) \subset \Phi(z), \quad \|\tilde{u}(z) - p_i(0)\| < r \quad (z \in U_i, 1 \leq i \leq m).$$

By [4, Lemma 4] there exists for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) an $h_i \in A(\Delta, X)$ such that

$$h_i|_{F_i} = (v - u)|_{F_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq m),$$

$$h_i|_{F_j} = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i),$$

$$h_i(\bar{\Delta}) \subset -p_i(0) + p_i(I) + B_r(X) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m)$$

$$\|h_i(z)\| < r/m \quad (z \in \bar{\Delta} - U_i, 1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Put $\tilde{v} = \tilde{u} + \sum_{i=1}^m h_i$. As in [5, p. 375] it is easy to see that \tilde{v} has all the required properties. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. It suffices to prove the Theorem in the case when $G = \bar{\Delta}$ (otherwise define $\Psi(z) = \Phi(z)$ ($z \in G$), $\Psi(z) = X$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta} - G$), observe that Ψ is an open map and apply the theorem to Ψ). Further, it suffices to prove the Theorem in the special case when $g = 0$ (otherwise define $\Psi(z) = -g(z) + \Phi(z)$ ($z \in G$), observe that, by the continuity of g , Ψ is open, apply the theorem to Ψ and to the function $s \mapsto h(s) = -g(s) + f(s)$ and finally put $\tilde{f} = g + \tilde{h}$). So assume that $G = \bar{\Delta}$ and $g = 0$.

Let $\delta(\cdot)$ be a modulus of equi-local connectedness of $\Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) at the points $f(s)$ and let δ_n be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 and satisfying $\delta_n < \delta(\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2^{-n})$ ($n \in N$). As in the proof of Lemma 5, [5, pp. 371–372] it follows from our assumptions that for each n there is a decomposition $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m_n} F_i$ where the F_i are pairwise disjoint nonempty compact sets, and a function $f_n: F \rightarrow X$ such that $f_n|_{F_i}$ is constant for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m_n$) and such that

$$(a) f_n(s) \in \Phi(s) \quad (s \in F, n \in N),$$

$$(b) \|f_n(s) - f(s)\| < \delta_n \quad (s \in F, n \in N).$$

We may assume that for all n , each element of $(n+1)$ st decomposition is contained in an element of n th decomposition. Since Φ is open, $0 \in \Phi(z)$ for all $z \in \bar{\Delta}$, and since $\bar{\Delta}$ is compact there is some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon_0}(X) \subset \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$). Let $U_n \subset \bar{\Delta}$ be a decreasing sequence of neighbourhoods of F

such that $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$. Assume that there exist a decreasing sequence ε_n of positive numbers satisfying $\varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_0$ ($n \in N$) and a sequence $g_n \in A(\Delta, X)$, $g_0 = 0$, with the following properties:

- (i) $g_n|_F = f_n|_F$ ($n \in N$),
- (ii) $\|g_n - g_{n-1}\| < 1/2^{n-1}$ ($n \in N, n \geq 2$),
- (iii) $\|g_n(z) - g_{n-1}(z)\| < \varepsilon_{n-1}/2^n$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta} - U_n, n \in N$),
- (iv) $g_n(z) + B_{\varepsilon_n}(X) \subset \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}, n \in N$).

By (ii), g_n converge uniformly on $\bar{\Delta}$ so putting $\tilde{f}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$) we have $\tilde{f} \in A(\Delta, X)$. By (i) and (b) $\tilde{f}|_F = f$. Further, let $z \in \bar{\Delta} - U_1$. Writing $\tilde{f}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (g_{n+1}(z) - g_n(z))$ it follows by (iii) that $\tilde{f}(z) \in B_{\varepsilon_0}(X) \subset \Phi(z)$. Finally, if $z \in U_1 - F$ then for some $n \in N$ we have $z \notin U_j$ ($j > n$) so by (iii) and (iv) it follows that

$$\tilde{f}(z) = g_n(z) + \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} [g_{j+1}(z) - g_j(z)] \in g_n(z) + B_{\varepsilon_n}(X) \subset \Phi(z)$$

and consequently \tilde{f} has all the required properties.

It remains to prove the existence of ε_n and g_n with the above properties. Put $g_0 = 0$. By the first part of the Lemma there is some $g_1 \in A(\Delta, X)$ satisfying $g_1(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$) and such that (i) and (iii) hold for $n = 1$. Since Φ is open, $g_1(z) \in \Phi(z)$ for all $z \in \bar{\Delta}$ and since $\bar{\Delta}$ is compact there is some ε_1 with $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0$ such that $g_1(z) + B_{\varepsilon_1}(X) \subset \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$). Let $m \in N$ and assume that $g_m \in A(\Delta, X)$ satisfies (i) and (iv) for some $\varepsilon_m > 0$. By the Lemma (a) and (b) imply that there is some $g_{m+1} \in A(\Delta, X)$ satisfying (i)–(iii) for $n = m + 1$ and such that $g_{m+1}(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$). Again, since Φ is open, $g_{m+1}(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$) and since $\bar{\Delta}$ is compact there is some ε_{m+1} with $0 < \varepsilon_{m+1} < \varepsilon_m$ such that $g_{m+1}(z) + B_{\varepsilon_{m+1}}(X) \subset \Phi(z)$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$). Q.E.D.

Next we present some simple applications. In Corollaries 1–6 below G can be either $\bar{\Delta}$ or $\partial\Delta$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let X be a complex Banach space and let $p: G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a lower semicontinuous function. Given any closed set $F \subset \partial\Delta$ of measure 0 and any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\|f(s)\| \leq p(s)$ ($s \in F$) there exists $\tilde{f} \in A(\Delta, X)$ which extends f and satisfies $\|\tilde{f}(z)\| < p(z)$ ($z \in G - F$). Moreover, if $z_j \in \Delta$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) and if n_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) are positive integers, \tilde{f} can be chosen to have a zero at z_j of order at least n_j .*

PROOF. Since p is lower semicontinuous the map $z \mapsto \Phi(z) = \{x \in X: \|x\| < p(z)\}$ is open on G . Further, since p is lower semicontinuous there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $p(z) \geq \delta$ ($z \in G$). Consequently $g \in A(\Delta, X)$ defined by $g(z) = 0$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$) satisfies $g(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in G$). Further, it is easy to see that any family $\{P_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ of nonempty open convex subsets of X is equi-locally connected at the points x_α for any $x_\alpha \in \bar{P}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$) so the sets

$\Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) are equi-locally connected at $f(s)$. Now the first assertion follows by the Theorem. To prove the second assertion, multiply \tilde{f} by $\varphi \in A$ which satisfies $\varphi|_F = 1$, $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$) and has a zero at z_j of order at least n_j [2, Theorem, pp. 284–285]. Q.E.D.

Corollary 1 sharpens and generalizes [2, Theorem, pp. 284–285]. Note that in the case when $X = C$ Corollary 1 is an easy consequence of [6, Theorem 3].

COROLLARY 2. *Let X be a complex Banach space and let $P \subset X$ be a nonempty open connected set which is locally connected at every point of \bar{P} . Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow C$ be a continuous function such that $\varphi(z)g(z) \in P$ ($z \in G$) for some $g \in A(\Delta, X)$. Given any closed set $F \subset \partial\Delta$ of measure 0 and any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\varphi(s)f(s) \in \bar{P}$ ($s \in F$) there exists $\tilde{f} \in A(\Delta, X)$ which extends f and satisfies $\varphi(z)\tilde{f}(z) \in P$ ($z \in G - F$).*

PROOF. Define $\Phi(z) = \{x \in X: \varphi(z)x \in P\}$ ($z \in G$). Since P is open and since φ is continuous Φ is an open map; since P is connected $\Phi(z)$ is connected for each $z \in G$. By the continuity of φ and f the set $S = \{\varphi(s)f(s), s \in F\} \subset \bar{P}$ is compact and consequently P is uniformly locally connected on S [5]. Since φ is bounded on F it follows easily that the sets $\Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) are equi-locally connected at the points $f(s)$. Now the assertion follows by the Theorem. Q.E.D.

Similarly we prove

COROLLARY 3. *Let X be a complex Banach space and let $P \subset X$ be a nonempty open connected set which is locally connected at every point of \bar{P} . Let $h: G \rightarrow X$ be a continuous function such that $h(z) + g(z) \in P$ ($z \in G$) for some $g \in A(\Delta, X)$. Given any closed set $F \subset \partial\Delta$ of measure 0 and any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow X$ satisfying $h(s) + f(s) \in \bar{P}$ ($s \in F$) there exists $\tilde{f} \in A(\Delta, X)$ which extends f and satisfies $h(z) + \tilde{f}(z) \in P$ ($z \in G - F$).*

Next we present some dominated extension theorems for the disc algebra.

COROLLARY 4. *Let $p: G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an upper semicontinuous (USC) function and let $q: G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a lower semicontinuous (LSC) function such that $p(z) < |g(z)| < q(z)$ ($z \in G$) for some $g \in A$. Given any closed set $F \subset \partial\Delta$ and any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow C$ satisfying $p(s) \leq |f(s)| \leq q(s)$ ($s \in F$) there is an $\tilde{f} \in A$ which extends f and satisfies $p(z) < |\tilde{f}(z)| < q(z)$ ($z \in G - F$).*

PROOF. Define $\Phi(z) = \{\zeta \in C: p(z) < |\zeta| < q(z)\}$ ($z \in G$). Let $z_0 \in G$ and let $\zeta_0 \in \Phi(z_0)$. For some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $p(z_0) + \varepsilon < |\eta| < q(z_0) - \varepsilon$ for all $\eta \in \zeta_0 + B_\varepsilon(C)$. Since p is USC and since q is LSC there is a

neighbourhood $U \subset G$ of z_0 such that $p(z) < p(z_0) + \varepsilon$, $q(z) > q(z_0) - \varepsilon$ ($z \in U$) and consequently Φ is open on G . Clearly $\Phi(z)$ is connected for every $z \in G$. Let $0 < r < R$ and let $S = \{\zeta \in C: r < |\zeta| < R\}$. It is easy to see that $(z + B_\varepsilon(C)) \cap S$ is connected for every $z \in \bar{S}$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Consequently the sets $\Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) are equi-locally connected at the points $f(s)$. Now the assertion follows by the theorem. Q.E.D.

REMARK. To prove Corollary 4 in the case when $G = \partial\Delta$ one needs to assume only that $p(z) < q(z)$ ($z \in G$) and one does not need the existence of $g \in A$. Namely, by [10, Theorem 5.3, p. 15] there exists a continuous function $\varphi: G \rightarrow R$ satisfying $p(z) < \varphi(z) < q(z)$ ($z \in G$) and since G is compact there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $p(z) + \varepsilon < \varphi(z) < q(z) - \varepsilon$ ($z \in G$). By [11, p. 216] A approximates in modulus on $\partial\Delta$ so there is some $g \in A$ such that $\varphi(z) - \varepsilon < |g(z)| < \varphi(z) + \varepsilon$ ($z \in G$) and consequently $p(z) < |g(z)| < q(z)$ ($z \in G$).

COROLLARY 5. Let $p_1, q_1: G \rightarrow R$ be two upper semicontinuous functions and let $p_2, q_2: G \rightarrow R$ be two lower semicontinuous functions such that $p_1(z) < \operatorname{Re} g(z) < p_2(z)$, $q_1(z) < \operatorname{Im} g(z) < q_2(z)$ ($z \in G$) for some $g \in A$. Given any closed set $F \subset \partial\Delta$ of measure 0 and any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow C$ satisfying $p_1(s) < \operatorname{Re} f(s) < p_2(s)$, $q_1(s) < \operatorname{Im} f(s) < q_2(s)$ ($s \in F$) there exists an $\tilde{f} \in A$ which extends f and satisfies $p_1(z) < \operatorname{Re} \tilde{f}(z) < p_2(z)$, $q_1(z) < \operatorname{Im} \tilde{f}(z) < q_2(z)$ ($z \in G - F$).

PROOF. Define $\Phi(z) = \{\zeta \in C: p_1(z) < \operatorname{Re} \zeta < p_2(z), q_1(z) < \operatorname{Im} \zeta < q_2(z)\}$ ($z \in G$) and observe that by the semicontinuity of p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 Φ is open on G . Further, since $\Phi(z)$ is convex for every $z \in G$ it follows that the sets $\Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) are equi-locally connected at the points $f(s)$. Now the assertion follows by the Theorem. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 6. Let $p: G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a lower semicontinuous function. Given any closed set $F \subset \partial\Delta$ of measure 0 and any continuous function $f: F \rightarrow C$ satisfying $|f(s)| \leq p(s)$, $\operatorname{Re} f(s) \geq 0$ ($s \in F$) there exists an $\tilde{f} \in A$ which extends f and satisfies $|f(z)| < p(z)$, $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0$ ($z \in G - F$).

PROOF. Define $\Phi(z) = \{\zeta \in C: |\zeta| < p(z), \operatorname{Re} \zeta > 0\}$ ($z \in G$). Since p is LSC, Φ is open on G . Since p is LSC and positive and since G is compact there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $p(z) \geq \delta$ ($z \in G$). Define $g \in A$ by $g(z) = \delta/2$ ($z \in \bar{\Delta}$). Clearly $g(z) \in \Phi(z)$ ($z \in G$). Since $\Phi(z)$ is convex for every $z \in G$ it follows that the sets $\Phi(s)$ ($s \in F$) are connected and equi-locally connected at the points $f(s)$. Now the assertion follows by the Theorem. Q.E.D.

Corollary 6 with $G = \partial\Delta$ sharpens [7, Corollary 4.5]. Corollary 6 with $G = \bar{\Delta}$ answers a question in [7, p. 294].

REFERENCES

1. E. Bishop, *A general Rudin-Carleson theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 140–143.
2. T. W. Gamelin, *Restrictions of subspaces of $C(X)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1964), 278–286.
3. ———, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969.
4. J. Globevnik, *Analytic extensions of vector-valued functions*, Pacific J. Math. **63** (1976), 389–395.
5. ———, *The range of analytic extensions*, Pacific J. Math. **69** (1977), 365–384.
6. P. Hag, *A generalization of the Rudin-Carleson theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1974), 341–344.
7. ———, *Restrictions of convex subsets of $C(X)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **233** (1977), 283–294.
8. E. Michael, *Selected selection theorems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **63** (1956), 233–238.
9. ———, *Continuous selections. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **64** (1956), 562–580.
10. Z. Semadeni, *Simultaneous extensions and projections in spaces of continuous functions*, Lecture Notes, Univ. of Aarhus, 1965.
11. E. L. Stout, *The theory of uniform algebras*, Bogden and Quigley, Tarrytown-on-Hudson, N. Y., 1971.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, LJUBLJANA, YUGOSLAVIA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195